

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,424	04/04/2005	Dominique Schille	17170/002001	8851
22511 OSHA LIANG	7590 09/06/2007		EXAM	INER
1221 MCKINN			BOATENG, ALEXIS ASIEDUA	
SUITE 2800 HOUSTON, T	X 77010		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
110001011, 1			2838	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			TH	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	10/510,424	SEBILLE, DOMINIO	SEBILLE, DOMINIQUE	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Alexis Boateng	2838	ļ	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	iress	
THE REPLY FILED 15 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendrotice of Appeal (with appeal ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The	ment, affidavit, or other evider I fee) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which CFR 41.31; or (3)	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2) the date later than SIX MONTHS from t	e set forth in the final rejection, when the mailing date of the final reject	nichever is later. In	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) W 706.07(f).	HEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS F	FILED WITHIN	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for er than three months after the n	g amount of the fee. The appropring amount of the fee. The appropriately originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee fice action; or (2) as	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37	must be filed within two mont	hs of the date of	
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.	37(e)), to avoid dismissal of th	ne appeal. Since	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further or (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beauppeal; and/or	onsideration and/or search (ow);	(see NOTE below);		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		inally rejected claims.	,	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		F	(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is professed that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-10. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☑ will not be entered, or lovided below or appended.	b)	explanation of	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of f nd sufficient reasons why th	iling a Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> e affidavit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections und ry and was not earlier prese	ler appeal and/or appellant fa ented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claim	s after entry is below or attack	hed.	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the appl	ication in condition for allowa	nce because:	

KARL EASTHOM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

13. Other: _____.

The amended claims require further search.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).